

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	R ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/629,397	07/29/2003	Michael W. Price	SP02-174	7235	
22928	7590 04/18/2007	EXAMINER			
CORNING INCORPORATED SP-TI-3-1			NGUYEN, NGOC YEN M		
CORNING, NY 14831			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1754		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/18/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

/	J
Æ	
L	

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/629,397	PRICE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ngoc-Yen M. Nguyen	1754				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			roce			
			ress			
THE REPLY FILED April 4 and 6, 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. I. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The periods. The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Office of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	s of the date of e appeal. Since			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1		ected claims.				
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9-19.</u> AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•					
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail se 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
I0. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu of the reasons of record, see Final Office action mailed 2 	<u>2/8/07.</u>	condition for allowar	ce because:			
 2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 3. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					
		Ngoc-Yen M. Nguye Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1754	en en			

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the proposed amendements to claim 1 raise new issues (at least create new claim combinations) that would require further consideration.